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ABSTRACT

To the vast majority of scholar’s public institutions should have effective and efficient Performance Management Systems (PMS) in place to promote and develop the performance of civil servants. In the public sector, good management of staff performance is fundamental to efficient service delivery. The mandate of public institutions is to offer quality and efficient service delivery to the public; as a result, public institutions’ performance is scrutinized by the public, who have higher expectations from the government. These expectations are based on the votes cast and the taxes paid by the general public. Thus, public institutions should monitor and enhance each employee’s performance and productivity to ensure the efficient provision of public services. This article seeks to analyse the challenges encountered by public sector in executing PMS and suggest the strategies the public sector can use to enhance staff performance.
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1. Introduction

The performance the public institutions and that of their employees have been criticised and viewed as ineffective and inefficient by the public and the media at large, this is due to poor services delivery which is caused by the poor performance management in the public institutions. According to Mafini (2015), the efficiency and effectiveness of government employees has grown to be a key concern. In order to provide the public with high-quality services, government agencies must put a high priority on improving the performance and productivity of their personnel via the deployment of effective performance management systems. Mbili (2015) indicated that the development of civil servant’s performance is essential for the effective and efficient service delivery to take place. It is therefore fundamental for public organisations to have performance management systems in place in order to formally assess individual performance. According to Mello (2013), public institutions all across the globe are under growing pressure to provide better services with less resources. The majority of the pressure comes from members of the public who are growing more conscious of their democratic rights to demand improved services, which manifests itself in the form of demonstrations, which often become violent. Citizens want confidence that governmental institutions are doing all possible to meet complex and ever-increasing social requirements. The creation and execution of an effective PMS will go a long way toward meeting the demands of the general public while minimizing waste. The aggregate total of each workers’ performance is therefore important to the success of any governmental institution. Given the right support and resources, any organization that inspires individuals who grasp the overarching institutional objectives may be expected to perform at their optimum.

2. Research Method

The study relied heavily on secondary sources for data collecting. The research relied heavily on thorough textual analysis of the existing PMS-related literature. The effort of this approach was to find a rich literature in order to address the study objective, which is an analysis of the challenges encountered by the public sector with regard to PMS implementations and strategies that may be used in the public sector to...
improve the productivity and performance of public servants.

3. Discussion

According to Magqadiyane (2016), performance management is a method that ensures alignment between employees' activities or outputs and organizational goals. Employee productivity contributes to an organization's success by helping it reach its goals and objectives. According to Mofokeng (2017), PMS is used to comprehend and encourage the successes, further change(s), values, and approaches of both employers and workers towards the methods and procedures of improving organizational efficiency and performance. It serves as a guide for organizations in establishing goals, performance principles, best practices, and performance indicators that enable managers to make well-informed choices about the attainment of organizational goals and objectives. According to Ramataboe (2015), performance management is a technique for assessing, recognizing, and developing individuals' performance by coordinating it with organizational strategic objectives. The system uses ongoing evaluation and feedback to improve employee performance. It aims to recognize exceptional performance by connecting accomplishments to the mission and goals, and it makes sure that both the employer and the employee are aware of the importance of a particular job in achieving results. Mkhize (2017) agreed that PMS assists in communicating employee performance objectives, maintaining ongoing performance conversation, and conducting yearly performance reviews. It is a tactical and methodical process that provides leaders, managers, employees, and stakeholders at various levels with a set of tools and methods for planning, monitoring, measuring, reviewing, and reporting organizational performance in terms of performance indicators and target-setting for effectiveness and efficiency. Its mission is to improve service delivery through advancing company and individual employee performance. PMS is a continuous strategy of increasing employee performance by creating objectives and strategically matching those goals with institutional goals. (Sisa and Naidoo, 2017). A well-executed PMS promotes employee performance while also assisting the organization in meeting specified objectives and adapting to organizational change (Mofokeng 2017). This kind of transformation entails combining goal-setting, performance review, and staff development into a single unified system while ensuring that performance meets the organization's strategic goals. According to Mungiu and Warkotsch (2017), it is critical for management to recognize the importance of an employee performance evaluation system as a fundamental component in human resource management before developing one. Performance must be controlled throughout the year in accordance with the criteria. As a result, if performance is not adequately managed, it may quickly devolve into a paper exercise, resulting in the system failing to meet its goals. As a result, it is critical to monitor and analyse the process on a frequent basis to ensure that compliance levels are encouraged and maintained at appropriate levels at all times. Furthermore, if PMS is viewed as a means of communication between the manager and the employee about what objectives are to be met, how they will be met, and how work will be progressed to achieve the desired results, one would arrive at a point where PMS involves both the supervisor and the supervisee. (Ndou, 2013). According to Serban and Herciu (2019), performance management is widely recognized, but further research has indicated that many organizations continue to struggle to properly adopt systems. This, however, is dependent on the behavioural variables of leaders and employees, as well as the strategy employers utilize to guide their employees toward successful implementation. To achieve successful implementation, greater emphasis must be placed on performance-based behaviour. This is also dependent on the behavioural variables of leaders and employees, as well as the way employers utilize to guide their employees toward successful implementation. The establishment of a PMS requires appropriate knowledge and abilities for both workers and employers to profit from the system (Mashego, 2016). The former may benefit from having their abilities enhanced, with recognition and awards for exceptional performance, whilst the latter is given the chance to offer optimum services via employee performance development. To be efficient and successful, the system must be connected with the employee performance development plan, the organization's vision and goal, and the yearly performance plan. Mthimkhulu and Singh (2016) agree with Mashego that for performance management to be implemented effectively, the employer must ensure that employees have the necessary work resources and skills, given that management will be held accountable if the desired results and targets are not met as a result of poor staff performance due to ineffective PMS implementation, putting pressure on management to monitor and evaluate staff performance. To achieve effective performance management According to Mathidza (2015), it is vital for the manager to operate as a leader who can turn aspirations into reality. This is because most well-designed PMS fail because line managers do not understand how to apply them. Because line managers are directly responsible for the implementation of performance management practices
in their respective departments, top management can play an important role in this regard by including performance management in their job descriptions and making them aware that this is an integral part of their position. Managers, being the primary role players in the institution, must be thoroughly taught and informed in order to execute a perfect PMS. This can only be accomplished by evaluating the value of training received via the development of training and evaluation methodologies. The inability of the manager to recognize their position in performance management would have a negative influence on staff performance since it is reliant on employee performance. As a result, it is critical for employers to recognize exceptional performers and offer feedback, so strengthening workplace dedication and increasing employee productivity. Despite the fact that most researchers adhere to a certain theoretical framework, it is common for researchers to draw from a number of research frameworks in order to make sense of study results. This paper theoretically incorporates goal setting and expectation theories. Goal setting theory, according to Locke and Latham (2006), is based on the notion of encouraging people to alter their performance plan. To put it another way, when workers find that they are underperforming and that their intended objectives have not been accomplished, they are motivated to put in more effort or modify their approach in order to attain the desired goal. According to Van der Merwe and Dawes (2007), when objectives are established at a motivating level, workers perform at their best, which serves as the cornerstone of the goal-setting technique. Goals and goals, according to Smit (2003), are the beginning point of the management process and may assist an organization in realizing its vision. Employees are motivated to work better when they are aware of this since it gives them a feeling of purpose and direction.

Schrager (2020) identified two major factors of goal setting theory that impact employees' willingness to commit to a set of goals: the individual's performance consequences and the assurance that the goal is achievable. As a result, it is vital for management to acknowledge workers' successes and provide tools that allow people to think that their objectives are attainable. The goal-setting hypothesis, according to Lunenburg (2011), establishes a relationship between performance and goals, which should be conveyed to workers. Employees tend to perform better when the institution establishes precise high-performance targets that must be met, therefore arranging favourably impacted demanding goals. Goals inspire individuals to design techniques that will help them perform better. Thus, in order for objectives to be reached, workers must be motivated to perform well; otherwise, performance will not increase as intended. The expectancy theory's basis is founded on the notion that individuals have specific expectations for the anticipated results of their actions, which underlies motivation. Another assumption is that individuals have multiple wants for various outcomes, therefore they are seen as thinking creatures capable of anticipating future occurrences. These outcomes are classified as intrinsic (results directly related to the activity, such as pay and working conditions) and extrinsic (results not directly related to the task, such as salary and working conditions) (Griffin, 2005). According to Redmond (2014), the key assumption behind the expectation expectancy theory is that individuals will be motivated if they feel their action will result in the desired outcome. According to expectation theorists, motivation is frequently defined as a technique of directing choices between different sorts of voluntary behaviour, a process that is controlled by people, and hence the strength of an employee's is dependent on how certain activities effect performance. According to Park and Kim, workers have various expectations and degrees of confidence in their talents (2017). Public institutions are enthusiastic about the tactics they will use to improve employee performance and, as a result, employees' job happiness. Job happiness is impacted by aims and expectations that workers will deliver improved performance. According to Park and Kim (2017), examining employee job satisfaction in the institution is a valuable activity. The concept is that when workers are content with their jobs, it has a good influence on the institution as well as on each individual employee, and that ensuring they are happy in their jobs will help the organization achieve its goals and objectives.

3.1. What are the challenges of Performance Management System (PMS) in the public sector?

- Poor Communication

Ahenkan, Tenakwah and Bawole (2018) identified poor communication as one of the challenges during the implementation of performance management systems (PMS) in the public sector. Performance management systems has different segments that must be implemented by the supervisor in conjunction with the subordinates under their supervision, effective communication is imperative for the success of the system. To Panda (2011) Performance management should strive to enable an organization's ongoing communication and commitment-building process while also allowing for employee and organizational growth. Panda (2011) further stated that the organization should have a good communication and support structure in place to help employees perform better. According to
Gebru (2018), consistent communication between the employer and employees promotes dedication and attitude, resulting in improved performance. Poor communication, on the other hand, has an impact on the performance management process since the employer must explain the organization's aims and goals to the employees so that they are aware of the expectations.

Because continual performance feedback to employees drives the entire system, effective communication is critical during the performance management process. For PMS objectives to be met, continuous feedback on each employee's performance is required. These findings corroborated those of Locke and Latham (2013), who claimed that performance feedback interacts with objective achievement in the same way that goal-setting theory does. Lunenburg’s (2011) argument that the feedback on performance helps employees attain their performance goals because employees can determine how well they are doing. To Staroňová (2017) Communication and feedback reduce uncertainty and give performance-related information. Inevitably, communication and feedback foster a sense of fairness, trust, and respect for the employer, who is assumed to be thinking about the civil employees’ best interests.

- The system of appraisal is ineffective.

Shrivastava and Purang (2016) also established that when the employee is subjected to unfairness during the appraisal process it creates a negative emotional condition, whereas fairness would lead to positive emotional conditions. The major goal of public institutions should be to stimulate and reward excellent performing staff rather than to demoralize them, hence they should assure an effective and unbiased performance appraisal process. The effectiveness of performance appraisal has revealed considerable weaknesses in its implementation, including several sorts of accuracy flaws, as well as rating errors and biases. Those who are responsible for rating employee’s performance are much more concerned in whether their evaluations would be useful in preserving good relationships and other non-performance related purposes, so they tampered with the outcome. Staroňová (2017) Employees may experience stress as a result of the performance appraisal system, which can damage their work-life balance. Due to some pre-determined targets, the employee may feel more stressed and under pressure during the performance appraisal. Tailor, Abhishek and Parameshwar (2021). To be eligible for a performance bonus, public officials must reach the specified goals; if the goals are too difficult to achieve, employees will become discouraged; therefore, it is critical for the employer to set realistic goals or targets. Traditional performance appraisal has been chastised for rewarding win-lose outcomes rather than win-win outcomes, in which the system encourages supportive and cooperative behaviour. (Rowland & Hall, 2012). According to Idowu (2017), when performance appraisals are conducted without clear goals, they might result in employee dissatisfaction and, as a result, a drop in productivity and organizational commitment. This is common in the public sector, where performance reviews are conducted for the sake of formality, demoralizing staff and lowering productivity and performance.

Lin and Kellough (2019) raised the following concerns in relation to performance appraisals:

1. Bias based on gender, race, ethnicity, age, or handicap status.

2. A lack of knowledge regarding an employee's performance when supervisors are not constantly with subordinates or their contact is not consistent. As a consequence, the supervisor may lack adequate knowledge to appropriately assess performance.

3. A lack of time, which frequently occurs when supervisors are preoccupied with various issues and duties that need their attention. Significant supervisory time is required for formulation and determination of ratings, as well as review sessions with personnel, for effective performance evaluation to take effect.

4. A lack of training in evaluating employee performance. Supervisors must be taught in the performance assessment process in order to ensure fairness and prevent mistakes of judgment.

5. A lack of authority. Supervisors’ success is dependent on the authority granted to them; they must be given power to lead, assess, and monitor employee performance, as well as authority to define staff performance targets.

6. Employee comparison or contrast impacts, when workers are graded relative to one
another rather than against specified criteria and standards.

- Supervisors and managers lack skills to implement the PMS

Miruka (2014) indicates that failure of a PMS stems from lack of training, as public officials are not well trained in the whole process. Due to a lack of training in the public sector, those who are trusted to implement the system, as well as their subordinates, are clueless, resulting in the system being ineffective and low staff productivity and performance. Falola, Osibanjo and Ojo (2014:161) illustrated that the key variables in the execution of performance management are communication and the availability of training opportunities. Mntonintshi and Mtembu's (2018) research found that all parties engaged in the design and implementation of PMs, including managers, lacked the necessary knowledge and capacity to properly execute PMS. Senior management must be well-versed in the PMS through training, and managers must explain the standards up front, allowing everyone to engage in the conversation. Transparency is essential for ensuring that individuals are aware of what is expected of them as soon as possible. Kalman (2016) People must be trained as part of the performance management implementation process in order to ensure that the organization's mission and vision are realized by working toward its goals and objectives. (Tukwayo, 2018). Managers are the important actors in establishing and promoting performance in the organization, thus they must be well-trained and knowledgeable in order to execute a PMS properly. This can only be accomplished by evaluating the training that was received and developing training and evaluation strategies. Because it depends on employee performance, a manager's inability to recognize their position in performance management has a detrimental effect on staff performance (Ramulumisi, Schultz, and Jordan, 205).

3.2. What techniques can be used to ensure that PMS improves staff productivity?

- Motivation

Desimone and Werner (2012) describe motivation as a basic component of human behaviour that determines individual employee performance and explains how effort is created and channelled. Work motivation should be considered as mostly voluntary. Employee behaviour indicates thought about the perceived consequences of their decisions, even when they think they have no choice. Employee motivation, skill development, and experience, all of which contribute to good job performance, affect the success of any firm, according to Dina and Olowosoke (2018). The existing relationship between motivation, job satisfaction, and effectiveness is crucial in achieving the institution's aims for improving employee performance. According to Warnich, Carrell, Elbert, and Hatfied (2015), workers want their performance to be commensurate with the benefits they get from the organization. Employees establish expectations for incentives and remuneration if specified levels of performance are met. These expectations define future objectives or levels of achievement.

- Employee engagement

According to Shumi and Begun (2017), organizations use employee engagement to boost performance by ensuring that employees are happy with their jobs. When an organization establishes an acceptable work environment and ensures that individuals have sufficient resources to carry out their responsibilities, effective performance management occurs. Employee engagement, according to Ariffin, Nirwanto, and Manan (2018), entails employees' pleasure and desire for their jobs. Employee involvement boosts their excitement for their work and strengthens their strong relationship with the organization, as well as their focus on the work. Employees work more passionately and feel a strong connection to their company when they are involved in decision-making. Those who are not engaged by their employment are said to be “sleepwalking” during their workdays, idling away their time because they lack motivation and energy to do their tasks. Employees who are fully engaged will be inspired to accomplish their responsibilities with zeal, caring about the company's future. They devote their efforts to ensuring that the organization meets its goals. (Endres & Mancheno-Smoakis, 2008).

- Job satisfaction

There is a link between employee contentment and their behaviour, which the employer can use to improve the company's performance. Employees that are happy in their jobs are more likely to stay with their employer and fulfil their duties with enthusiasm (Kosteas, 2011). The favourable impact of a happy employee's performance on the institution's ability to meet its goals and on attitudes about work responsibilities is significant. It is influenced by organizational and personal factors, both of which have a reactive effect on organizational commitment. (Malatjie, 2019) Employees who are happy with their jobs are more likely to perform well and have a favourable experience at work. If their well-being is prioritized, they will be promoted and
experience less emotional discomfort. Employees that have a high level of job satisfaction create a passion for their profession and even devote their personal time to their workplace (Veingerl, Herzog & Macek, 2020).

Performance appraisal system that is well-implemented

Selvarajana, Singh, and Solansky (2018) claim that evaluation is increasingly regarded as a technique to manage performance management because of its significance in boosting staff performance. As merit and fairness drive workers, the goal should be to encourage staff to enhance their performance. Underlying factors include an interaction between the supervisor and supervisee that affects the working relationship as employees evaluate performance. Performance evaluation is seen by Lin and Kellough (2019) as a technique to increase employee motivation and assist them in adapting their working methods to the institution's goals. Performance evaluations may enhance both organizational effectiveness and employee performance. It is a technique for raising performance by bringing together personal and business objectives. Many institutions' incentive systems, according to Karahan and Kurtulmus (2017), are founded on performance evaluations created by management. To achieve the goals of the firm, motivated employees will improve their performance by being more efficient in both quality and quantity. Ahuja, Padhy, and Srivastava (2018) claim that performance reviews improve relationships between staff members and their supervisors by opening up a line of contact for ongoing feedback.

- Personal development plan

Beausaert, Segers and Grohnert (2015) The plan can be utilized to highlight future changes and learning opportunities in order to improve staff performance. A plan with a development goal, rather than an assessment goal, is the greatest way to improve performance and learning. Learning will play a vital role in the performance development practice when performance management plans are implemented for professional growth. The extent to which it contributes to professional development and is carried out with the goal of driving staff development is described as effectiveness. Employees should be motivated to learn and enhance their performance with this technology. Performance management is seen as a method of carrying out strategic goals while simultaneously managing employee growth in order to gain and keep a competitive advantage, according to Greenan (2016). Institutions must prioritize staff development so that employees may concentrate on enhancing their aptitudes, competencies, and expertise. As businesses require that workers become more adaptable and flexible, personal development programs have become more and more popular. Every organization needs employees to function. The effectiveness of the workforce influences the success or failure of the business. Public and commercial organizations alike are as a consequence investing heavily in staff development. Employee development is the process of enhancing both an individual employee's and the organization's overall capabilities. Employee development, thus, encompasses both individual and organizational growth since, when a company is developed by its people, it thrives and employee performance rises. The relationship between employee development and performance is therefore undeniably present. More mature workers are happier and more dedicated to their work, and their performance rises as a result. Employee performance increases, and so does the effectiveness of the company (Hameed and Waheed, 2011).

4. Conclusion

Poor communication during the performance management process has a significant negative impact on the system's success; the lack of information flow between the supervisor and supervisee prevents the PMS from achieving its goal and objectives, which include increasing employee productivity and improving organizational performance. According to Salvation (2019) lack of clarity frequently leads to misunderstanding and disagreement over who performs what and when, causing delays in job completion and affecting employee performance and predictability. According to Mbonambi (2016), there should be more and better communication about performance management deadlines that must be met on time. Employees will have enough time to complete all performance management processes on time as a result of this. The goals of performance management, according to Stredwick (2014), are to exert control over staff and ensure that performance targets are met. Employees are directed toward the organization's goals and objectives when clear guidance is provided, which is a key type of communication in relating the organization's goals to each employee's individual performance. The PMS involves numerous steps, and in the public sector, it is completed quarterly, thus excellent communication is critical to the system's effectiveness. In the public sector, the execution of an appraisal system has been fraught with difficulties, with severe consequences for employee productivity and performance. According to Dibekulu (2020) managers are rarely held accountable for providing inaccurate
feedback or making mistakes. If a person remains silent in the face of incorrect feedback from his immediate boss, no one will question the supervisor about the basis for the incorrect input. When a query comes from an individual, the supervisor is usually asked, this affect the credibility of PMS and demoralized employees. To Taye (2020) Some supervisors have a practice of frequently giving their workers negative or high evaluations. Strictness and leniency mistakes are two kinds of errors. The strict rater provides lower evaluations than the subordinate deserves. This strictness mistake penalizes superior subordinates. According to Shrivastava and Purang (2016), when an employee experiences injustice throughout the appraisal process, this results in bad emotional conditions, and employee productivity and performance decline, whereas fairness results in positive emotional situations. According to Nikpeyma, Saiedi, Azardashb, and Majd (2014), performance assessment does not always increase productivity since it might be biased, imprecise, and unacceptable by workers. Employee unhappiness and a lack of motivation have been connected to performance assessment. Another noteworthy element of the PMS is the problem of supervisor and management incompetence when it comes to system deployment, since managers and supervisors need training. Mbonambi (2016) discovered that the PMS failure occurred mostly because training was not transmitted into public institutions to enable successful implementation. Training is essential because it helps managers and other staff understand the concept behind the PMS, what it is attempting to achieve, and how it is organized and performed. Because role players are critical to the success or failure of the performance management process, it is critical that they be successfully taught on the whole process. According to Ramulumisi, Schultz, and Jordan (2015), managers must be well-trained and knowledgeable in order to adopt PMS. Sunahwati and Maarif (2019) agreed that well-trained personnel are critical for attaining optimum organizational performance, and that staff development is required to improve organizational performance. There are methods that may be used to guarantee that the PMS increases staff productivity and performance. Employee engagement, work satisfaction, an effective assessment system, motivation, and a personal development plan are some of the approaches that public sector organizations might apply, according to the study’s results.

Without a doubt, a well-implemented Performance Management System (PMS) is a critical tool for improving employee performance, productivity, and organizational performance. It is clear that the public sector must do a lot to ensure that the system is implemented to accomplish intended goals rather than just for the sake of compliance. Since performance management is a continuous process that involves planning, reviewing, rewarding, and developing performance, public sector institutions must ensure that the process is started effectively in such a way that all aspects of the process are explained to all employees and it is determined if all employees are on board from the beginning, as a result, during the financial year, the process of effective communication will be developed and translated to all phases of the system. Because the PMS is ineffective because no continuous feedback is offered, the system is only a formality, management must ensure that the quarterly meetings between the supervisor and subordinates are facilitated and recorded. If the meetings are not facilitated, the managers must ensure the supervisor and supervisee accounts; thus, these meetings provide the supervisor and supervisee with the opportunity to discuss employee performance and make adjustments as needed. It is on this premise that this paper finds communication to be a crucial tool in the PMS, despite the fact that it is underappreciated by the employees who are responsible to implement the PMS in the public institutions. There is a need in public institutions to ensure fairness in the appraisal system, the system was designed to reward good performing employees, recognise and motivate them. The system was not designed to frustrate and demoralise the employees. The public institutions need to design the rating system which will be fair to all employees, those who are to be awarded for good performance must be judged according to the statistics collected and complied for the warding committee. Appraisal systems is supposed to by offering a route for regular contact and feedback, it enhances the link between employees and their employers. Employees responsible with implementing the PMS process must be properly trained so that the system can be implemented correctly. It is unacceptable that those charged with implementing the PMS at public institutions are completely unaware of what is going on. Not to mention the fact that these managers and supervisors are accountable for training their subordinates, their ineptness poses a severe threat to employee development and productivity. Without sufficient training, the government should not grant permission to implement the systems. As a result, the system has been considered as a formality rather than a critical tool for the organization to achieve its goals and objectives. As of this study, employee engagement, job satisfaction, an effective appraisal system, personal development plan and motivation are some of the techniques that public sector institutions can use to develop and enhance employee’s performance/productivity and this will be beneficial for the service delivery. The PMS was created with the goal of identifying training needs of employees through the
use of performance development plans, motivating employees through appraisal systems, and developing their productivity through continual feedback on performance and identifying areas to be improved by doing so the service delivery is promoted though enhancement of employee’s productivity and performance, therefore PMS is a crucial tool, not a formality and must be monitored by management in public institutions.
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